



Pretreatment Reinvention – XL Pilot Project Stakeholder Meeting

April 22, 1999

Attendees: Jeff Frank, Teena Halbig, Allan Bryant, Steve Stahl, Dave Liest, Bryan Thompson, Bud Hixson, Derek Guthrie, Roddy Williams, Vickie Coombs, Sarah Lynn Cunningham, Emily Hubbs, Greg Ratliff, Marsha Jenkins, BJ Ettl, and Sharon Worley

Summary Notes prepared by Sharon Worley

The meeting generally followed the agenda, therefore, notes will be arranged according to the agenda.

1. Sarah Lynn Cunningham gave a brief overview of the “Big Picture” of the project.
2. The following ground rules for this meeting and future meetings were proposed:
 - Start and Stop on time
 - Distribute proposed agenda prior to the meeting – and stick to the agenda
 - Have a timekeeper
 - Only one person to speak at a time
 - Meeting summaries to be distributed to all identified stakeholders
 - Include meeting break to allow time for open discussion

Note: We will briefly review these and finalize ground rules at the start of next meeting.

3. Sharon Worley gave an overview of the Pilot Proposal which had been submitted to EPA in early March. The key points of that discussion are noted in the document distributed at the meeting “Brief Overview of Pilot Project Elements”.
4. The following is a brief summary of key comments on the proposal:
 - Stream Sampling Locations. The Pretreatment Reinvention project is set up to monitor the parameters in the sewer collection system, the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), and immediately upstream and downstream of the WWTP. It was requested that there would also be monitoring further down Chenoweth Run. MSD noted that some additional monitoring further down Chenoweth Run has already begun, but as a part of a separate project. (MSD has applied for a grant from the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF) to study the impacts on stream quality during high flow conditions.) Information from the separate project will be utilized in this project where appropriate.
 - Parameters. It was recommended that sampling parameters be selected to reflect existing conditions. May want to check Hazardous Material Spill Control plans for local facilities to find out what chemicals are stored on site. Kentucky Pollution Prevention Center might be able to assist regarding knowledge of industries’ chemicals. Might also check Toxic Release Inventory information.

Could also check Stormwater permits to find out what parameters are regulated. Parameters will be further discussed at the next meeting.

- Pollutant Source. If pollutants of concern are identified for which the source is unknown, air deposition should be considered as a potential source.
- Wet Weather sampling. A request was made for wet weather stream sampling data. The Pretreatment Reinvention project will focus primarily of collection of samples during dry weather, as this is most reflective of the pretreatment program. However, the sampling of the stream for the proposed WERF project has been focusing significantly on wet weather events.

The plan for Stakeholder involvement was discussed. Key points are noted in the document that was distributed. One participant, Bud Hixson, noted that he would like to be a direct participant in the development of the “Pilot Project” Final Project Agreement.

Additional comments were made:

- Need to “manage expectations” on this project. In other words, the EPA Grant Project is for the purpose of developing Performance Measures for the Pretreatment Program. The EPA Pilot project is for the purpose of using those performance measures and then reinventing the Pretreatment Program.

At the next meeting, it is expected that we will discuss:

- A summary of the Convenor’s findings;
- A review of the Jeffersontown Sewershed/Chenoweth Run Watershed Background Report (by Strand Associates);
- The “Draft” Final Project Agreement.

Any other proposed agenda items must be submitted to Sharon Worley at least 2 days prior to the meeting.